INITIAL REPORT OF

COMMONWEALTH COMPENSATION COMMISSION

on

COMPENSATION ADJUSTMENTS FOR MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, GOVERNOR, LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR AND CABINET OFFICERS

SEPTEMBER 29, 1976

Additional copies of this report may be obtained at the Commission office in Room 513, Finance Building, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120.

Harry L. Rossi, Esquire Chairman Rossi and Casey

Appointed by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate

Daniel J. Curran, Ph.D.
Educator
Professor of American Politics, Kings College
Appointed by the Governor

David H. Kurtzman, Ph.D. Chancellor Emeritus, University of Pittsburgh Former Secretary of Administration of the Commonwealth Former Secretary of Education of the Commonwealth

Appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives

John H. Ferguson, Ph.D. Executive Virector

Carole L. Shaw Administrative Assistant

ACT NO. 111
APPROVED June 29, 1976

Extract

Section 6. The act [Act of June 1, 1956, P.L. 1959, No. 657] is amended by adding a section to read:

Section 14.2. (a) There is hereby established an independent commission to be known as the "Commonwealth Compensation Commission," hereinafter referred to as the "commission," consisting of three members, one of whom shall be appointed by the Governor, one by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate and one by the Speaker of the House of Representatives. They shall be private citizens, and shall not be eligible for election or appointment to public office during the continuance of their terms. The terms of the persons first appointed shall be for the calendar years 1976 and 1977. Persons thereafter appointed shall serve for a two-year term, which shall coincide with the two calendar years commencing with the year in which the appointment is made.

The commission shall elect one of its members chairman and members of the commission shall be reimbursed for actual and necessary expenses incurred while performing the duties imposed by this act. In addition, members of the commission shall be paid \$50 per diem for each day such member is engaged upon work of the commission. The commission may retain an executive director and such clerical or secretarial personnel as it may require. The costs and expenses of the commission shall be paid out of funds appropriated to the Governor's Office, the President Pro Tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House, pro rata.

(b) The commission shall make an exhaustive study of the salaries, emoluments, mileage, per diem, travel and other expense allowances and reimbursements of the Governor, the Lieutenant Governor, the cabinet officers, the Auditor General and the State Treasurer, the justices and judges of the Supreme Court, the Superior Court, the Commonwealth Court, the courts of common pleas, the Municipal Court of Philadelphia and the Traffic Court of Philadelphia, and the officers and members of the General Assembly. As soon as is practicable after the effective date of this act for the initial report, and thereafter for subsequent reports no later than 31 days before the commencement of each term of the members of the General Assembly, the commission shall submit to the Governor, the Chief Justice, the

President Pro Tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives its report establishing such salaries, emoluments, mileage, per diem, travel and other expense allowances.

The initial report shall take effect immediately, unless, within 30 days following the date of submission thereof the General Assembly shall, by concurrent resolution reject the report, in whole or part, or enacts legislation as hereinafter provided in this section. Reports submitted subsequent to the initial report shall take effect and have the force and effect of law at the beginning of the first pay period of the subsequent term of the General Assembly or the date of assumption of office of persons affected thereby after such date, unless within 30 days following the date of submission thereof, the General Assembly shall, by concurrent resolution, reject the said report, in whole or in part, or unless within said period the General Assembly shall enact legislation which establishes a rate of pay or allowance differing from that recommended by said report in whole or in part. portion of the report which is not inconsistent with the resolution or legislation so adopted shall have the force and effect of law as herein provided.

PUBLIC HEARING, SEPTEMBER 8, 1976

Mr. John Ingram, Director Pennsylvania Economy League

Mrs. Marlene Berman, Vice President League of Women Voters of Pennsylvania

Mr. Walter L. Carmo, Jr., Director of Legislation Representing: Mr. K. Eugene Preston, Executive Director Pennsylvania State Education Association

PUBLIC HEARING, SEPTEMBER 15, 1976

Mr. David Hochner, Executive Director Common Cause of Pennsylvania

Mr. Frank O'Brien
Private citizen from Pittsburgh

Honorable Kent D. Shelhamer Member, Pennsylvania House of Representatives

PUBLIC HEARING, SEPTEMBER 22, 1976

Honorable Victor J. Westerberg Member, Pennsylvania House of Representatives

Mr. Albert F. Unger, Director of Legislation Pennsylvania School Boards Association

Honorable James N. Wade Secretary of Administration Governor's Office of Administration

Mrs. Ferne S. Hetrick Private citizen from York County

Honorable Charles P. Mirarchi, Jr.
Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia
Representing: Pennsylvania Conference of State Trial Judges

Honorable Harold Berger, Chairman Pennsylvania Committee for an Independent Judiciary

COMMONWEALTH COMPENSATION COMMISSION

513 Finance Building Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 717/783-1329

September 29, 1976

Honorable Milton J. Shapp Governor of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Honorable Benjamin R. Jones Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania

Honorable Martin L. Murray
President Pro Tempore of the Pennsylvania Senate

Honorable Herbert Fineman Speaker of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives

Gentlemen:

We hereby submit to you an initial report of the Common-wealth Compensation Commission authorized and constituted in accordance with the provisions of Act No. 111, adopted June 29, 1976.

Although this first report addresses itself to the compensation of public officials within the jurisdiction of the Commission, the severity of time limitations has impelled us to focus only upon the need for compensation adjustment resulting from the erosion of existing salaries created by a continuing inflationary spiral. This report does not, therefore, focus upon adjustment which may or may not be required to base salaries.

To the extent that attention has centered upon the need for a cost-of-living adjustment to salaries, the study and the deliberations are "exhaustive." Any complete and exhaustive study of the base salaries of public officials must, because of constraints of time, necessarily be deferred to some future consideration.

The Commission from its inception sought out the views of persons and organizations willing to comment upon the subject matter within the scope of our jurisdiction and held, for that purpose, regularly scheduled public meetings -- the dates, times and locations of which were publicized in advance. The limited number of interested persons and organizations responding, however, was somewhat disappointing.

We wish to extend our gratitude at this time to those organizations and persons who gave of their time to testify at the public hearings and to those persons who expressed their views through correspondence. We also wish to thank the agencies of State government that provided assistance, especially the Joint State Government Commission, which prepared most of the source material and helped with editing and publication.

Respectfully submitted,

Harry L. Rossi, Chairman

Daniel J. Curran David H. Kurtzman

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | P | age |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|---------|------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------|---|---|-----|
| RESPONSIB | LITIES | AND | FUN | CTI | ONS | • | • | • | • | - | - , | • | • | • | • | • | iv |
| WITNESSES | APPEAR | ING 1 | BEFO! | RE | THE | CC | MMC | ııs | SI | ON | , | • | • | • | • | • | vi |
| LETTER OF | TRANSM | ITTA | L. | ٠ | | • | • | • | • | • | • | - | • | | | • | vii |
| FORWARD | | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | • • | • | • | | • | | 1 |
| DETERMINA | rions . | | | • | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | 3 |
| SUMMARY O | F DETER | MINA | rion: | S | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | | • | 8 |
| EXHIBITS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comme | entarie | s. | | • | | • | | | • | | • | • | | | | • | 9 |
| 1. | Change States to Dec | Depa | artm | ent | of | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 |
| 2. | Select Capita | | | | | ed. | in | . 0 | rd | er • | • • | f : | P∈ • | er • | • | • | 13 |
| 3. | Annual Vouche Ten St | red 1 | Expe | | | | | | | | | | | • | • | • | 14 |
| 4. | Averag Legisl of Ind Estima | ator: ustr | s and | d A Wor | ver ker | age | e W De | lee ece | kĪ: | y] | Ea: | rn | in | ıqs | 3 | • | 15 |
| 5. | Histor in Sel wealth to Nov | ected Comp | d Par pens | y-R ati | Rang .on | e S Pla | ste an, | eps N | U ov | nd: eml | er be: | C r | on 19 | mc 972 | ? | | 16 |

| 6. | Average Annual Salaries for Selected Professional, Administrative and Technical Occupations in Private Industry, 1972 to 1976 |
|-----|---|
| 7. | Legislator Insurance Programs for Selected States |
| 8. | Retirement Programs for State Legislators in Selected States 20 |
| 9. | Number of Pennsylvania Legislators with No Other Employment, 1965 to 1976 21 |
| 10. | Distribution of Legislators Responding to Commonwealth Compensation Commission Survey by Hours Per Week Spent on Legislative Duties |
| 11. | History of Annual Salaries of Executive Officials and Cabinet Officers as Prescribed by Statute, 1963 to 1976 23 |
| 12. | Salaries of Top Executive Positions in Eleven States 24 |
| 13. | Commonwealth Compensation Commission Survey Questionnaire |

At a time when government at all levels has greatly expanded to meet the growing demands of the public it serves and requires a greater degree of technological and administrative proficiency, it is imperative for the success of our political system that government be equipped to recruit and encourage highly qualified public officials. Although other incentives exist--prestige and personal satisfaction of performing public service, for example--there is no substitute for adequate compensation.

Compensation of public officials must be commensurate with the responsibilities and demands of the office held and must be realistic in terms of permitting the public official to meet personal and family obligations. Salaries must also remain adequate following election or appointment. It is no more unrealistic to say to an employee in industry that he should be satisfied with the salary he received when first employed than to say to a public official that he must content himself with a static salary simply because he knew the extent of his compensation when he first took office and is therefore unjustified in seeking an upward modification.

The purchasing power of all salaries, in both the public and the private sector, are subject to the same economic conditions. It is simply unrealistic and grossly unfair to expect public officials to stoically ignore the fact that the purchasing power of their salary dollars is diminishing. The costs of food, housing, educating children and leisure are the same for everyone.

The political concept of representative government is not an abstraction suggested only by those unique men that presided over the birth of this nation and existing simply as reading matter in our school textbooks. It is a viable, living concept requiring that men and women nurture it, implement its machinery and provide its spirit. These men and women are as dependent upon their ability to earn wages and salaries as all other citizens.

Employees in the private sector and many in the public sector, either by individual negotiation or by collective bargaining, achieve what is considered to be an adequate salary both at the commencement of employment and during the tenure of employment. Because of constitutional and statutory limitations, public officials do not have such opportunity. Their salaries may only be increased, absent a

compensation commission, by legislative action, and the date upon which increases may take effect is in many instances deferred as the result of constitutional provisions prohibiting salary increases.

In the case of salaries established either by statute or constitutional provision, rational and realistic adjustments have been generally unattainable because of public opposition, reluctance by the Legislature to act on salary increases due to fear of adverse political consequences or constitutional limitations. Salary adjustments under such conditions are sporadic and random. Long periods exist in which compensation remains unchanged, even though wages in the private sector move steadily upward. Thus there results a steady deterioration in the adequacy of salary levels of public officials.

This sluggish inconsistency of salary adjustments not only violates principles of sound personnel practice but creates salary inequities which adversely impact upon the morale of public officials and possibly the quality of the service they render. When adjustments do occur after long delays, they often appear exhorbitant to the public. If, however, salaries were adjusted regularly, it would be more apparent that the modifications are justified and in step with compensation trends in the private sector.

Since 1972 employees in the private sector have regularly received salary increases, sometimes commensurate with and often greater than the increase in the cost of living. By comparison, the legislative salary has not increased since 1972; officials of the executive branch received no salary increase between 1972 and 1975, at which time the salaries determined by the former Commonwealth Compensation Commission became effective; and members of the judicial branch received no salary increase between 1972 and July 1, 1976, when as the result of adoption of Act No. 111 each member of the judiciary received an increase of \$5,000.

In order to avoid unrealistic, irrational and haphazard methods of determining salary adjustments for public officials, the Federal Government as well as at least twenty states have adopted some variation of a compensation commission. We believe that with continued use the mechanism of a compensation commission possesses the potential to bring to our state government a rational, judicious and fair method of adjusting salaries of public officials.

When the members of the Commission first met on August 19, 1976, it became quite evident that the Commission would be confronted with the unanticipated problems of preparing an initial report in a severely compressed time frame.

Following review of the provisions of Act No. 111 and consultation with the office of the Attorney General, members of the Commission concluded that if their study should indicate salary adjustments for incumbents, the first report of the Commission must become effective prior to Election Day, November 2, 1976.

The Commission also determined that filing a report subsequent to October 2, 1976, could result in deferring the effective date of any salary adjustments for perhaps several years, either because the report became effective after November 2, 1976 or because legislative modifications occurred after November 2, 1976 to a report filed before November 2, 1976. The selection of October 2, 1976, as the last day to issue a report, therefore, insures that the Legislature will have a 30-day period prior to November 2, 1976 in which to make any modification it deems justified. If modifications are made, the effective date of salary adjustments will occur prior to November 2, 1976.

If the Commission had ignored the possibility that its failure to file a report prior to October 2, 1976 could result in delaying the effective date for any salary adjustments and the Commission study had indicated such adjustments were justified, the Commission would have inexcusably permitted any existing inequities to continue for a further substantial period of time, thereby perpetuating and enlarging them.

Following its organizational meeting, the Commission concentrated on gathering together all available information as quickly as possible in order to determine the scope of its report. Public meetings were scheduled on a regular basis and publicized in advance. Various organizations and the public were invited to present their views. Through the efforts of the Commission staff and the staff of the Joint State Government Commission, comprehensive data were obtained. In addition, the Commission had before it the extensive files and materials developed by the former Commonwealth Compensation Commission, including research material provided by the Fels Center of Government of the University of Pennsylvania and the State Division of the Pennsylvania Economy League.

Following review of applicable law, the testimony presented and all pertinent material, the Commission concluded that:

- 1. The time limitation imposed on the Commission for the issuance of a first report necessarily precluded an exhaustive study at this time of the adequacy of the base salaries of those public officials who are the subject of this report.
- 2. This initial report of the Commission would not extend to all of the public officials or the full scope of determinations within the Commission's jurisdiction and subsequent initial reports may be provided.
- 3. Because each member of the judicial branch of government recently received, in accordance with the provisions of Act No. 111, approved June 29, 1976, an annual increase in the amount of \$5,000 and because no constitutional prohibition precludes incumbents of the judicial branch from receiving salary adjustments at the time of determination, the Commission deferred any consideration of judicial salary adjustments.
- 4. The Commission has deferred any consideration of salary adjustments for the State Treasurer and Auditor General. This is due to the fact that salary increases by the former Commonwealth Compensation Commission on November 30, 1972 were not received by the incumbent State Treasurer and Auditor General because of constitutional limitations and their successor to be elected on November 2, 1976 will receive the salary increases.
- 5. In view of the fact that between the years 19731976, inclusive, the rise in the Consumer Price
 Index will be about 37.3 percent, in the average
 weekly earnings for all private industry, about
 32.3 percent, in the average weekly earnings for
 U.S. manufacturing workers, about 35.6 percent,
 and in the annual salaries of Pennsylvania State
 employees, about 40.6 percent (Exhibits 1 and 4),
 there is an immediate need to adjust upwards the
 compensation of the public officials subject to
 this report.
- 6. Based upon the above data, this Commission could justifiably adjust the compensation of the public officials under consideration by greater amounts than herein recommended to conform with the inflationary trend. Nevertheless, the Commission has determined:

- -- For members of the General Assembly elected in the 1976 General Election and thereafter a cumulative cost-of-living adjustment in the amount of \$3,120, which is equivalent to an annual percentage increase of 5 percent for each of the four years 1973, 1974, 1975 and 1976--a total of 20 percent for the period.
- -- For members of the Senate elected in the 1974 General Election, an unaccountable additional allowance in recognition of the increased cost attendant to their offices equal to \$3,120 per annum until the end of the term for which they are elected.
- -- For the Governor, Lieutenant Governor and Cabinet officers, at such time as the constitution of Pennsylvania permits their payment for services rendered, a cumulative cost-of-living adjustment at the annual rate of 5 percent for each of the two years 1975 and 1976--a total of 10 percent.*

The distinction drawn in this initial report between the legislative and executive branches is justified in that most members of the executive branch received salary increases in 1975 as the result of the determinations of the former Commonwealth Compensation Commission. Further, the distinction is justified by the care which must be exercised in avoiding, when dealing with larger salaries as in the case of the executive branch, the possibility that a salary adjustment made because of an increase in the cost of living does not create an imbalance between a base salary as adjusted and a realistic base salary as determined by the scope, responsibility and functions of an office. Until a study of base salaries is made, the Commission believes that a modest adjustment of 10 percent is appropriate in that, in itself, it will not create any imbalance between the present base salary, as adjusted, and a realistic base salary as finally determined.

7. Because of the increased costs of travel and in recognition of the fact that with the exception of members of the legislature all other public employees are entitled to receive 15 cents per mile, the Commission has determined that the constitutional mileage allowance for legislators shall be 15 cents per mile circular for each week a member is in attendance at a session.

-5-

^{*} This determination was not approved by Commissioner Kurtzman.

- 8. The compensation increases provided for in this report shall become effective on the date of this report as governed by law and the Constitution.
- 9. Failure by the Commission to act upon salaries, emoluments, mileage, per diem, travel and other expense allowance, and reimbursements of any public official subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission other than those expressly provided for in this report is intended as a determination that there shall be no change in existing compensation as a result of this Initial Report, except as may be made by the General Assembly or under executive authority as provided by law or by subsequent parts to this Initial Report.

The total number of public officials who are subject to this report is 272 of which 253 are in the legislative branch and 19 are in the executive branch.

When fully implemented the cost of the determinations contained herein will be as follows:

| | Annual direct salary cost | Annual fringe benefit cost including mileage | Total annual cost | Total annual cost, per capita |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Legislative adjustments | \$789,360 | \$258,573 | \$1,047,933 | 8.9¢ |
| Executive adjustments | 73,750 | 9,661 | 83,411 | 0.7¢ |

Existing provisions of the Pennsylvania Constitution prohibiting alteration of salaries of certain public officials after their election or appointment make it extremely difficult to maintain total rationality in the determination of compensation adjustments. For example, the present State Treasurer and Auditor General are receiving salaries which were applicable to those offices prior to November 1972, even though the former Commonwealth Compensation Commission increased the salaries of those public officials on November 30, 1972. The incumbents, therefore, never had the benefit of the increased compensation.

The Constitution of the United States includes no like prohibition with regard to members of Congress or appointed

officials. The absence of those restrictions has not resulted in abuse and has, as it would in the case of Pennsylvania, encouraged a more rational method of determining salary modifications. The former Commonwealth Compensation Commission recommended that the legislature initiate changes to the Pennsylvania Constitution to remove these archaic provisions. This Commission similarly makes such a recommendation.

The Commonwealth Compensation Commission, under the authority granted by Act No. 111 of 1976, establishes with respect to the officials enumerated the compensation shown below effective on the date of this Initial Report, as governed by law and the Constitution.

| | Annual Salary |
|--|--|
| WITH RESPECT TO EXECUTIVE OFFICERS* | |
| Governor Lieutenant Governor Secretary of the Commonwealth Attorney General Secretary of Education Adjutant General Insurance Commissioner Secretary of Banking Secretary of Agriculture Secretary of General Services Secretary of Environmental Resources Secretary of Transportation Secretary of Health Commissioner of Pennsylvania State Police Secretary of Labor and Industry Secretary of Public Welfare Secretary of Revenue Secretary of Commerce | \$66,000 49,500 38,500 44,000 44,000 38,500 38,500 38,500 44,000 41,250 41,250 41,250 41,250 41,250 41,250 41,250 38,500 |
| Secretary of Community Affairs WITH RESPECT TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY | 38,500 |
| Members of the Senate and House of Representatives** | 18,720 |

CONSTITUTIONAL MILEAGE FOR LEGISLATORS--15 CENTS PER MILE

-8-

^{*} The determination for executive branch officials was not approved by Commissioner Kurtzman.

^{**} Members of the Senate elected in the 1974 General Election will continue to receive the current \$15,600 salary with an unaccountable additional allowance equal to \$3,120 per annum until the end of the term for which they are elected.

COMMENTARIES

Exhibit 1 shows that the Consumer Price Index rose between December 1972 and December 1976 from 127.3 to a projected 174.8. The annual percentage increase varied between a low of 5.1 in 1976 and a high of 12.2 in 1974. The percentage increase for the four-year period was 37.3.

Exhibit 2 compares the per capita income in 1975 for 14 populous and industrialized states. Connecticut ranks highest with \$6,891, Texas lowest with \$5,458 and Pennsylvania eleventh with \$5,940.

Exhibit 3 lists ten selected states by legislative salaries and expense allowances. Annual legislative salaries range from a high of \$23,500 in New York to a low of \$7,200 in Texas. Pennsylvania's annual salary of \$15,600 ranks below that of New York, California, Illinois, Ohio and Michigan but above that of Massachusetts, Florida, New Jersey and Texas.

Pennsylvania's disadvantage is offset somewhat by the comparisons shown for expense allowances. For the states shown, only California, Illinois and New York appear to provide more generously for these expenses. It should be stressed, however, that in Pennsylvania the expense allowance stated is maximum and, unlike California and Illinois, must be accounted for.

Exhibit 4 enables comparison of the percentage increase in average salaries of Pennsylvania's legislators and State employees with that of the weekly earnings of industrial workers for the nation as a whole and for Pennsylvania manufacturing production. While Pennsylvania's legislative salaries remained constant during the period under review (December 1972-December 1976), the average salary of State employees rose 40.6 percent; of U.S. industrial workers, 32.3 percent; of U.S. manufacturing industrial workers, 35.6 percent; and of Pennsylvania manufacturing production industrial workers, 35.9 percent.

Exhibit 5, Tables 1 and 2, provide additional comparative data about salary increases within Pennsylvania State government between November 1972 and November 1976. While legislative salaries remained constant, the salary histories of selected occupation groups in selected pay-range steps show increases ranging from 25.3 to 43.7 percent.

Exhibit 6 compares average annual salary increases for selected professional, administrative and technical occupations in private industries for the period 1972 to 1976. While Pennsylvania's legislative salaries remained constant, the percentage increases for accountants, attorneys, engineers, etc., ranged from a low of 14.2 percent to a high of 35.7 percent.

Exhibits 7 and 8 compare legislative retirement and insurance benefits for Pennsylvania and nine other selected states. These data were supplied by Legis 50--formerly the Citizens Conference on State Legislatures--a private, non-partisan organization with headquarters in Englewood, Colorado.

Exhibits 9 and 10 throw light on the amount of time Pennsylvania's legislators devote to their duties based on a current survey conducted by the Commonwealth Compensation Commission (See Exhibit 13). Exhibit 9 shows that since 1965 the number of Senate and House members who list no other employment has increased from one to 23 in the Senate and from 35 to 97 in the House.

Exhibit 10 reflects the results of a questionnaire survey of the 253 members of the Pennsylvania General Assembly conducted by the Commonwealth Compensation Commission in September 1976. A total of 169, or 67 percent, of the legislators responded, of whom 148 specifically indicated their replies could be made available for public inspection. The median number of hours spent weekly on the job by those holding other occupations was 48 during legislative sessions and 39.5 out of session. For those having no other occupation, the medians were 60 hours during legislative sessions and 56 hours out of session. Of the 169 respondents, 90--or 53 percent--reported they had no other occupation. Using 40 hours as a full-time week, of the 169 respondents, 149 meet the requirement while the legislature is in session, and 123 work full-time while the legislature is out of session.

While the data shown by these exhibits are in part incomplete, they tend to confirm the view that service as a member of the General Assembly typically is a full-time job. Moreover, the trend is for the General Assembly to spend more days per term in session; the issues with which legislators must deal have become increasingly complex, procedural reforms and "sunshine" laws require that more time be spent in committee and caucus meetings; and the cost of running for office has increased.

Exhibits 11 and 12 show the most pertinent and recent data concerning the salaries of Governor, Lieutenant Governor and Cabinet officers. Exhibit 11 provides a salary history

from 1963 to date, with the last being made by the previous Commonwealth Compensation Commission in November 1972. Exhibit 12 represents the results of a telephone check of ten states made in late September 1976 by the Bureau of Personnel, Governor's Office of Administration, of selected top officials. Pennsylvania's rankings for the offices shown were as follows:

Office

Pennsylvania's ranking

Governor
Lieutenant Governor
Attorney General
Education
Transportation
Environment-Resources
Health
State Police
Agriculture
Banking
Insurance

Third (tied with New Jersey)
Second
Eighth (tied with Florida)
Eighth (tied with Florida)
Seventh
Fourth
Seventh
Fourth
Eighth (tied with Illinois)
Sixth
Sixth (tied with Illinois)

Exhibit 1

CHANGES IN CONSUMER PRICE INDEX OF UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR DECEMBER 1972 TO DECEMBER 1976

| | U.S. Dept. of Labor | Percentage increase | | | |
|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Month and year | Consumer Price Index 1967 = 100 | Period to period | From December 1972 | | |
| December 1972 | 127.3 | | | | |
| December 1973 | 138.5 | 8.8 | 8.8 | | |
| December 1974 | 155.4 | 12.2 | 22.1 | | |
| December 1975 | 166.3 | 7.0 | 30.6 | | |
| December 1976 | 174.8* | 5.1 | 37.3 | | |

^{*} Projected from June (Index = 170.1) at an annual rate of 5.5 percent.

Exhibit 2

SELECTED STATES RANKED IN ORDER OF PER CAPITA INCOME, 1975

| State | Per capita income |
|---------------|-------------------|
| Connecticut | \$6,891 |
| Delaware | 6,876 |
| Illinois | 6,861 |
| New Jersey | 6,704 |
| New York | 6,679 |
| California | 6,600 |
| Maryland | 6,502 |
| Michigan | 6,340 |
| Massachusetts | 6,250 |
| Ohio | 5,957 |
| Pennsylvania | 5,940 |
| Virginia | 5,743 |
| Florida | 5,549 |
| Texas | 5,458 |
| U.S. Average | 5,908 |

SOURCES: Calculated from U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, <u>Survey of Current Business</u> (July 1976), p. 13, and <u>Population Characteristics</u> (March 1976), p. 25.

Exhibit 3

ANNUAL SALARIES AND UNVOUCHERED AND VOUCHERED EXPENSES OF LEGISLATORS IN TEN STATES

| | Annual | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| State | State salary Unvouchered expense | | Vouchered expenses |
| California | \$23,232 ^b | \$10,000 (\$30/day in session \$30/day on official business between sessions) | None provided |
| Florida | \$12,000 | \$1,500 (\$25/day for 7 day week) | \$25/day on official busi- ness between sessions |
| Illinois | \$20,000 | \$7,850 (\$36/day in session) | None provided |
| Massachusetts | \$15,400 ^c | \$1,200 plus \$2-\$32/day in session | None provided |
| Michigan | \$19,000 | None provided | \$26/day in session (maximum \$3,500/year) |
| New Jersey | \$10,000 | None provided | None provided |
| New York | \$23,500 | None provided | \$40/day in session \$50/day in session for New York City legislators and for official business between sessions |
| Ohio | \$17,500 | None provided | None provided |
| Pennsylvania | \$15,600 | None provided | \$1,500/year |
| Texas | \$7,200 | \$2,700 (\$30/day in session) | Actual expense on official business between sessions (Senate only) |

a. Total estimated on length of recent sessions.

SOURCES: Council of State Governments (1975) and Citizens Conference of State Legislatures (September 1975).

b. Effective December 1976.

c. Effective January 1977.

Exhibit 4

AVERAGE SALARIES OF STATE EMPLOYEES AND LEGISLATORS
AND AVERAGE WEEKLY EARNINGS OF INDUSTRIAL WORKERS
DECEMBER 1972 AND ESTIMATED DECEMBER 1976

| | December 1972 | December 1976 | Percentage change |
|---|---------------|--|-------------------|
| Annual salary of legislators | \$15,600 | \$15,600 | 0 |
| Average annual salary of state employees | 9,247 | 13,000ª | 40.6 |
| Weekly earnings of industrial workers - United States | | | |
| All private industr | v 139.13 | 184.03 ^b | 32.3 |
| Manufacturing - Pennsylvania | 162.74 | 184.03 ^b 220.74 ^b | 35.6 |
| Manufacturing production | 162.01 | 220.24 ^c | 35.9 |

- a. Projected from July 1976 at 5 percent annual rate of increase.
- b. Projected from May 1976 at annual rate of increase equal to 1975-1976 rates.
- c. Projected from June 1976 at annual rate of increase equal to 1975-1976 rates.

SOURCES: U.S. Department of Labor, <u>Monthly Labor Review</u>, July 1973, p. 95, and July 1976, p. 86; Pa. Department of Labor and Industry, Bureau of Employment Security, <u>Pennsylvania Employment and Earnings</u>, December 1973 and July 1976; and Governor's Office of Administration.

Exhibit 5

Table 1

HISTORY OF SELECTED OCCUPATION GROUPS IN SELECTED PAY-RANGE STEPS UNDER COMMONWEALTH COMPENSATION PLAN NOVEMBER 1972 TO NOVEMBER 1976

| Anniversary date | Pay-range step | Salary | Pay-range step | Salary | Pay-range step | Salary |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| November 1972 | 40-F | \$15,387 | 41-F | \$16,170 | 42-E | \$16,170 |
| November 1973 | 41-F | 16,822 | 42-F | 17,624 | 43-E | 17,624 |
| November 1974 | 41~F | 17,330 | 42-F | 18,132 | 43-F | 18,993 |
| November 1975 | 41-F | 18,054 | 42-F | 18,915 | 43-F | 19,814 |
| November 1976 | 41-F | 19,364 | 42-F | 20,264 | 43-F | 21,203 |
| Percentage increase | | 25.8 | | 25.3 | | 31.1 |

1. Positions in selected occupation groups in pay-range steps 40-F, 41-F and 42-E in November 1972. Effective January 1, 1973, positions so classified were reclassified to the same step of the next pay range (41-F, 42-F and 43-E). Step F is the top of each range. These groups and their 1976 pay-range classifications follow:

| Pay range 41 | Pay range 42 | Pay range 43 |
|--|--|---|
| Attorney II Industrial Engineer I Biostatistician II Budget Analyst III Management Analyst III Pharmacist III | Architect II Bank Examiner III Civil Engineer III Electrical Engineer III Public Health Nurse IV Social Worker III | Chemist III Food Service Manager II Microbiologist III Public Health Nutritionist III Special Agent Accounting VIII |

SOURCE: The Pennsylvania Bulletin.

Exhibit 5

Table 2

HISTORY OF SELECTED OCCUPATION GROUPS IN SELECTED PAY-RANGE STEPS UNDER COMMONWEALTH COMPENSATION PLAN¹
NOVEMBER 1972 TO NOVEMBER 1976

| Anniversary date | Pay-range step | Salary | Pay-range step | Salary |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| November 1972 | 43-D | \$16,170 | 44-C | \$16,170 |
| November 1973 | 44-D | 17,624 | 45-C | 17,624 |
| November 1974 | 44-E | 18,993 | 45-D | 18,993 |
| November 1975 | 44-F | 20,792 | 45-E | 20,792 |
| November 1976 | 44-F | 22,220 | 45-F | 23,237 |
| Percentage increase | | 37.4 | | 43.7 |

1. Positions in selected occupation groups in pay-range steps 43-D and 44-C in November 1972. Effective January 1, 1973, positions so classified were reclassified to the same step of the next pay range (44-D and 45-C). Step F is the top of each range. These groups and their 1976 pay-range classifications follow:

Pay range 44

Attorney III
Biostatistician III
Budget Analyst IV
Management Analyst IV
Pharmacist IV
Statistician IV

Pay range 45

Architect III Electrical Engineer IV Nurse VI Physical Therapist V Soils Engineer IV

SOURCE: The Pennsylvania Bulletin.

Exhibit 6

AVERAGE ANNUAL SALARIES FOR SELECTED PROFESSIONAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL OCCUPATIONS IN PRIVATE INDUSTRY, 1972 TO 1976

| | | | Average | e annual s | alaries ^l | | Percentage increase |
|----------------------|--------|----------|----------|------------|----------------------|----------|---------------------|
| Occupation and class | | 1976 | 1975 | 1974 | 1973 | 1972 | 1972 to 1976 |
| Accountants I | | \$18,738 | \$17,618 | \$16,051 | \$15,068 | \$14.259 | 31.4 |
| | V | 23,402 | 21,664 | 19,560 | 18,400 | 17,368 | 34.7 |
| Auditors IV | | 19,952 | 18,800 | 17,491 | 16,669 | 15,823 | 26.1 |
| Chief Accounta | ants I | 20,460 | 19,289 | 17,601 | 16,220 | 15,318 | 33.6 |
| | II | 22,753 | 21,323 | 20,072 | 18,634 | 17,419 | 30.6 |
| | III | 28,136 | 26,226 | 23,805 | 22,687 | 21,198 | 32.7 |
| | IV | 33,916 | 32,094 | 29,021 | 26,735 | 26,521 | 27.9 |
| Attorneys : | I | 15,413 | 15,220 | 14,223 | 13,478 | 13,498 | 14.2 |
| I | I | 18,667 | 17,757 | 16,357 | 15,555 | 14,640 | 27.5 |
| II | I | 24,205 | 22,558 | 21,082 | 19,565 | 18,392 | 31.6 |
| I. | V | 29,828 | 28,159 | 25,956 | 24,693 | 23,448 | 27.2 |
| 7 | V | 36,308 | 34,040 | 31,999 | 30,035 | 27,528 | 31.9 |
| V | L | 43,747 | 41,046 | 38,180 | 37,048 | 34,828 | 25.6 |
| Job Analysts | III | 16,091 | 14,949 | 13,921 | 13,061 | 12,526 | 28.5 |
| | IV | 19,142 | 18,459 | 17,263 | 16,211 | 15,057 | 27.1 |
| Directors of | | | | | | | |
| Personnel | I | 18,193 | 16,809 | 15,790 | 14,748 | 14,313 | 27.1 |
| | II | 21,720 | 19,938 | 18,815 | 17,753 | 16,401 | 32.4 |
| | III | 26,845 | 25,033 | 24,078 | 21,984 | 20,153 | 33.2 |
| | IV | 33,060 | 31,841 | 28,140 | 26,611 | 24,738 | 33.6 |
| Chemists I | V | 20,429 | 19,204 | 17,283 | 16,140 | 15,670 | 30.4 |
| 7 | V | 24,099 | 22,700 | 20,702 | 19,312 | 18,581 | 29.7 |
| V: | I | 28,868 | 26,729 | 24,079 | 22,602 | 21,277 | 35.7 |
| VI | | 33,559 | 31,362 | 28,203 | 26,899 | 25,888 | 29.6 |
| VIII | I | 40,723 | 37,855 | 34,475 | 32,099 | 30,827 | 32.1 |
| Engineers | ΙV | 20,747 | 19,449 | 17,929 | 17,030 | 16,159 | 28.4 |
| - | V | 24,082 | 22,427 | 20,654 | 19,614 | 18,628 | 29.3 |
| 7 | VI | 27,737 | 26,109 | 23,827 | 22,586 | 21,402 | 29.6 |
| | II | 30,850 | 29,101 | 26,960 | 25,681 | 24,367 | 26.6 |
| VI | | 36,236 | 34,114 | 31,469 | 29,499 | 27,885 | 29.9 |

^{1.} Annual salaries reported as of March of each year.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Labor: $\underline{\text{Handbook of Labor Statistics}}$, 1975, and news release of July 7, 1976.

Exhibit 7

LEGISLATOR INSURANCE PROGRAMS FOR SELECTED STATES

| | | | | | | PRO | VISIO | GNS | | | | OVE | | | AY. | Т | YPE OTHER |
|---------------|-----|----------------------------|-------------|---|----------|------------|---|----------|--|----------------|--|---|--------|---|-----------|--|--------------------|
| | / 2 | Mainenianie Mainenianie | Oc. Medical | | Re Conic | Sular exam | \$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | 1105 177 | Diago de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición dela composición de la composición de la composición dela composición dela composición de la composición dela composición de la composición dela composición dela composi | Sulpho Colored | 111 100 1 10 | John John John John John John John John | | Solar | reuous Co | To Single of the second of the | |
| California | Х | X | - | _ | X | x | Х | x | X | - | x | _ | - | x | - | _ | - |
| Florida | X | х | - | _ | _ | - | _ | | _ | x | - | х | - | x | _ | | - |
| Illinois | Х | X | - | x | _ | X | Х | х | - | x | X | _ | - | - | Х | - | - |
| Massachusetts | х | Х | _ | X | x | х | Х | _ | _ | x | - | x | - | x | - | x | - |
| Michigan | X | X | - | - | _ | Х | _ | X | X | - | x | - | - | x | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | x | x | - | _ | _ | _ | | - | _ | х | x | _ | - | x | - | - | - |
| New York | x | X | x | _ | х | - | - | - | X | - | х | _ | - | x | - | _ | - |
| Ohio | х | Х | _ | - | _ | _ | X | - | - | x | - | X | - | X | - | - | - |
| Pennsylvania | X | ·X | _ | X | _ | - | Х | - | X | - | X | _ | - | X | - | - | - |
| lexas | X | Х | - | - | - | х | x | x | _ | x | _ | x | - | x | - | - | Family cancer plan |

^{*} Legislator pays for family coverage.

SOURCE: The Citizens Conference on State Legislatures, "How Much Are State Legislators Paid? A Report on Salaries, Allowances and Benefits in the 50 States," Research Memorandum No. 18 (September 1975), p. 14.

Exhibit 8

RETIREMENT PROGRAMS FOR STATE LEGISLATORS IN SELECTED STATES

| | Co | ntributions | <u>T</u> | уре | | ements | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|----------|------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|---|
| | Legislator | State/legislature | Optional | Compulsory | Years of service | Retirement age | Benefits*-annual unless otherwise indicated | Variations |
| Californial | 8% | х | x | | 4 | 60 | 5% for each year of service on first \$500/months salary, up to 15 years; after 15 years add 3% per year on maximum \$500/month salary; add 3% of salary above \$500/month for each year of service. | |
| Florida | 8% | х | | Х | 8 | 62 | 3% of average final com- pensation for each year of service. | Early retirement at age 58 with 35 years service. |
| Illinois | 10% | X | х | | 4 | 62 | 3% for first 8 years, 4% for next 4 years, 5% thereafter. | Early retirement at age 55 with 8 years serv-ice. |
| Massachusetts ² | 7% | х | х | | 6 | 65 | 2 1/2% of average high salary for each year of service. | Early retirement at 55 with 10 years service, benefit reduced to 1 1/2%. |
| Michigan | 5% | х | Х | | 8 | 55 | 3 3/4% of salary for each of service, to maximum of 60% of salary. | |
| New Jersey | 5% | x | | х | 8 | 60 | 3% of final salary for each year of service, maximum 2/3 final salary. | |
| New York | ³ | х | Х | | 10 | 62 | 2% final average salary for each year of service, minimum 20 years. | 1-2/3% final average salary for each year less than 20 years. |
| Pennsylvania ⁴ | 5% | X | X | | 10 | 50 | 2% of final average salary for each year of service. | Reduced benefits avail- able on retirement at any age after 10 years of service. |
| Texas | 8% | х | х | | 12 | 55 | 2% of state salary paid to district judges (\$31,000 in 1976) for each year of service. | |

^{*} Computation for salary base, which varies greatly between states, has not been included. In most cases, it is either three final years' or three highest years' salary.

^{1.} Four percent contribution if elected prior to March 4, 1972.

^{2.} Five percent contribution by members in system before 1/1/75.

^{3.} Two and one-half percent for those elected prior to 1973.

^{4.} For legislators elected prior to 3/1/74, $8\ 1/2$ percent contribution, benefits = $3\ 2/5$ percent final average salary for each year of service.

SOURCE: The Citizens Conference on State Legislatures, "How Much Are State Legislators Paid? A Report on Salaries, Allowances and Benefits in the 50 States," Research Memorandum No. 18 (September 1975), pp. 12-13.

Exhibit 9

NUMBER OF PENNSYLVANIA LEGISLATORS WITH NO EMPLOYMENT OTHER THAN THAT OF LEGISLATOR, 1965 TO 1976

| | . | | | |
|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|
| | 1975 to 1976 | 1973 to 1974 | 1969 to 1970 | 1965 |
| Senate | 23 | 15 | 1 | 1 |
| House | 97 | 71 | 44 | 35 |
| Total | 120 | 86 | 45 | 36 |

SOURCES: Legislative Directories, 1965-1976.

Exhibit 10

DISTRIBUTION OF LEGISLATORS RESPONDING TO COMMONWEALTH COMPENSATION COMMISSION SURVEY BY HOURS PER WEEK SPENT ON LEGISLATIVE DUTIES

| Hours per week devoted to legislative duties ^l | Respondents devoting time to another occupation | Respondents devoting no time to another occupation | Incomplete questionnaires | Total | |
|--|---|--|------------------------------|-------|--|
| In session | - | | | | |
| Less than 20 | | 1 | | 1 | |
| 20-29 | 2 | | No. was | 2 | |
| 30-39 | 12 | 3 | Plat year | 15 | |
| 40-49 | 28 | 10 | | 38 | |
| 50-59 | 20 | 28 | 1 | 49 | |
| 60-69 | 11 | 23 | | 34 | |
| 70 or more | 4 | 24 | | 28 | |
| <pre>Incomplete</pre> | | | | | |
| questionnaires | <u></u> | _1 | _1 | 2 | |
| Tota1 | 77 | 90 | 2 | 169 | |
| Out of session | | | | | |
| Less than 20 | 7 | 1 | | 8 | |
| 20-29 | 13 | 2 | *** | 15 | |
| 30-39 | 18 | 1 | **** **** | 19 | |
| 40-49 | 18 | 15 | 1 | 34 | |
| 50-59 | 12 | 31 | | 43 | |
| 60-69 | 6 | 14 | | 20 | |
| 70 or more | 3 | 23 | | 26 | |
| Incomplete | | | | | |
| questionnaires | | _3 | _1_ | 4 | |
| Total | 77 | 90 | 2 | 169 | |
| Median in-session | | _ | | | |
| hours | 48 | 60 | | 55 | |
| Median out-of- | 40 | 00 | - - |)) | |
| session hours | 39.5 | 56 | | 50 | |

^{1.} Not including travel time related to legislative duties. The weekly average in-session travel time is 8.1 hours and out-of-session travel time, 7.9 hours.

SOURCE: Survey conducted by Commonwealth Compensation Commission, September 1976. Survey questionnaire comprises Exhibit 13.

Exhibit 11

HISTORY OF ANNUAL SALARIES OF EXECUTIVE OFFICIALS AND CABINET OFFICERS AS PRESCRIBED BY STATUTE, 1963 TO 1976

| | Commonwealth Co Commission | - | Act 275, 1970 and | Statutoria: salaries | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| | November 1972 a | June 1972 b | Act 194, 1968 | Act 112, 1965 | 1963 | |
| Governor | \$60,000 | \$47,500 | \$45,000 | \$45,000 | \$35,000 | |
| Lieutenant Governor | 45,000 | 35,000 | 32,500 | 32,500 | 22,500 | |
| Secretary of the Commonwealth | 35,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Attorney General | 40,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Auditor General | 42,500 | 35,000 | 32,500 | 32,500 | 22,500 | |
| State Treasurer | 42,500 | 35,000 | 32,500 | 32,500 | 22,500 | |
| Secretary of Education | 40,000 | 32,500 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 20,000 | |
| Adjutant General | 35,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Insurance Commissioner | 35,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of Banking | 35,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of Agriculture | 35,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of General Services | 40,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of Environmental Resources | 37,500 | 27,500 | 25,000 | <u>-</u> | <u>-</u> - | |
| Secretary of Transportation | 40,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of Health | 37,500 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Commissioner of Pennsylvania State | | | | | | |
| Police | 37,500 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of Labor and Industry | 37,500 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of Public Welfare | 40,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of Revenue | 37,500 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of Commerce | 35,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 20,000 | |
| Secretary of Community Affairs | 35,000 | 27,500 | 25,000 | | | |

a. Current salaries.

SOURCES: The Pennsylvania Manual. 1963-1975, Volumes 96-102, and Office of Administration, 1976.

SOURCES: The Pennsylvania Manual. 1963-1975, Volumes 96-102, and Office of Administration, 1976.

b. As modified by Senate Concurrent Resolution No. 1, Special Session No. 1, 1972.

Exhibit 12

SALARIES OF TOP EXECUTIVE POSITIONS IN ELEVEN STATES

| State and year of most recent salary revision | Governor | Lieutenant Governor | Attorney General | Education | Transportation | Environmental Resources | Health | State Police | Agriculture | Banking | Insurance |
|---|----------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| California 1975 | \$49,100 | \$35,000 | \$42,500 | \$35,000 | \$37,212 | a | а | \$37,212 | \$37,212 | \$37,212 | \$37,212 |
| Florida 1976 | 50,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 38,000 | а | а | 34,000 | 40,000 | 30,000 | 31,900 ^b |
| Illinois 1975 | 50,000 | 37,500 | 42,500 | 53,000 ^a | 44,000 | 35,000 | 44,000 | 32,000 | 35,000 | 30,000 | 35,000 |
| Maryland 1975 | 25,000 | 44,856 | 44,856 | 47,300 | 47,300 | 42,300 | ь | 33,300 ^c | 42,300 | 33,100 | 33,100 |
| Massachusetts 1973 | 40,000 | 25,000 | 30,000 | 37,200 | 37,200 | 34,000 | а | 24,136 ^b | 19,780 | 24,136 | 25,228 |
| Michigan 1974 | 47,250 | 27,500 | 42,250 | 40,875 | 37,233 | 30,660 | 39,500 | 33,600 | 30,660 | Ъ | 27,920 ⁰ |
| New Jersey 1974 | 60,000 | none | 43,000 | 43,000 | 43,000 | 43,000 | 43,000 | 33,229- 44,856 c | 41,000 | 41,000 | 41,000 |
| New York 1976 | 85,000 | 60,000 | 47,800 | 57,650 | 47,800 | 47,800 | 51,150 | 47,800 | 47,800 | 47,800 | 47,800 |
| Ohio 1976 | 50,000 | 30,000 | 38,000 | 50,000 | 37,232- 49,920 | b | 37,232- 49,920 | 22,838- 36,038 c | 30,638- 41,059 | 22,838- 36,038 c | 30,638- 41,059 |
| TENNSYLVANIA 1972 | 60,000 | 45,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 37,500 | 37,500 | 37,500 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| Texas 1976 | 66,800 | 4,800 | 42,300 | 42,300 | 42,300 | a | 42,300 | 42,300 | 42,300 | 46,488 | 36,800 |

a. Special pay level for incumbent.

SOURCE: Bureau of Personnel, Governor's Office of Administration, September 1976.

b. Significantly different programs; no comparable position.

c. Position is part of larger department; reports to department head.

COMMONWEALTH COMPENSATION COMMISSION Room 513, Finance Building Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY:

The Commonwealth Compensation Commission currently is gathering data to serve as a basis for its report to be submitted by October 2.

The following questionnaire will provide useful information on the time expended by legislators in serving their constituents. Because of the imminent deadline, the Commission urges each member of the General Assembly to complete the form and return it as soon as possible.

Enclosed for your response is a coded envelope which will be separated from the questionnaire upon receipt. If you have no objection to your response being made available to the public upon request, please so indicate with your signature at the end of this form.

| L. | Please check the description(s) applicable to you: |
|-----|---|
| | Senate Member House Member |
| | Member of Leadership Standing Committee Chairman |
| | Minority Chairman of Standing Committee |
| 2. | How many years have you been a member of the General Assembly? years |
| 3. | On the average, how many hours do you spend a week on legislative duties while |
| | the Legislature is in session? |
| | a. travel time per week hours |
| | b. time in Harrisburg per week hours |
| | c. time in home district per week hours |
| | Total hours |
| 4. | On the average, how many hours do you spend a week on legislative duties while |
| | the Legislature is not in session? |
| | a. travel time per week hours |
| | b. time in Harrisburg per week hours |
| | c. time in home district per week hours |
| | Total hours |
| 5. | Are you engaged in any business or profession in addition to your legislative duties? Yes No |
| | If Yes is checked, please complete questions 6 through 9. |
| б. | What is the occupation? |
| 7. | On the average, how many hours a week do you spend on this occupation when the Legislature is in session? hours |
| 0 | |
| 8. | On the average, how many hours a week do you spend on this occupation when the Legislature is not in session? hours |
| 9. | How many years have you been engaged in this occupation? years |
| | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| neu | I authorize that the information submitted herein be made available to any aber of the public upon request. |
| | Signature |
| | Date |
| | -25- |